# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,186	04/27/2001	Jacek Tadeusz Gabzdyl	M00B107	2157
75	90 05/17/2002			
The BOC Group, Inc.			EXAMINER	
100 Mountain A			PITTMAN, ZIDIA T	
New Providence, NJ 07974			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	6
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T·Dー (				
:	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/844,186	GABZDYL, JACEK TADEUSZ				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zidia Pittman	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	April 2001 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	☑ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and a decided and the second					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(6	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>	• •					
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>				





Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 2 line 18, "The **should** now begins.." should be "The **shoulder** now begins..".

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Waldron et al (USPN 6,168,067).

Waldron et al teaches high strength friction stir welding. The structural members are joined to one another by friction stir welding along the interface of the members, which defines a welding path between the members. A rotating friction stir welding tool is forced through the outer surfaces of the structural members. The frictional heat generated by the rotating probe creates a plasticized region or weld zone between the structural members. The rotating probe is then moved along the path defined by the interface between the structural members to thereby form a continuous friction stir weld





Art Unit: 1725

joint along the length of the members, thus forming a unitary-structural assembly. The size of the heat-affected region may be reduced by applying a continuous stream of cooling fluid through one or more coolant jets. Preferably, the cooling fluid is applied to the weld zone immediately behind the friction stir welding probe. In another embodiment, the stream of cooling fluid may be applied in multiple locations to the area of the structural members. The cooling fluid may include any non-reactive liquid coolant or chilled gas. In a preferred embodiment, the cooling fluid includes chilled nitrogen gas. The work piece may be an aluminum alloy. (column 3 line 50 – column 4 line 5; column 4 line 49 – column 5 line 54)

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waldron et al in view of Soviet Union Patent (SU-414066).





Art Unit: 1725

Waldron et al teaches all of the limitations of claims 3 and 4 except for teaching a method in which the liquid cryogen is liquid nitrogen or liquid argon.

Soviet Union Patent teaches argon-arc welding. Resistance of argon arc welds against thermal crack formation is ensured by using liquid argon or nitrogen for forced cooling of the weld with cooling streams directed against the movement of the welding head. (abstract)

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Waldron et al with the teachings of the Soviet Union Patent in order to protect the friction stir weld against thermal crack formation.

Argon-arc welding and friction stir welding are types of thermal welding.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waldron et al in view of United Kingdom Patent (1 552 660).

Waldron et al teaches all of the limitations of claims 3 and 5 except for teaching a method in which the cryogen is liquid nitrogen or solid carbon dioxide.

United Kingdom Patent teaches a method of arc welding. The toughness of both the weld metal and the heat affected zone will become more pronounced by using higher cooling rates for the forced cooling through the ranges from the maximum temperature to 800° and from 800° to 500°. Specific cooling rates are used to obtain high toughness for the welding metal and the heat affected zone. As cooling agent, water, liquid nitrogen or dry ice (solid carbon dioxide) may be employed within the scope of the present invention. (page 2 lines 13-28)



Art Unit: 1725

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Waldron et al with the teachings of the United Kingdom Patent in order to obtain high toughness for the welding metal and the heat affected zone. Arc welding and friction stir welding are types of thermal welding.

Claims 3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waldron et al in view of Terai et al (USPN 3,836,748).

Waldron et al teaches all of the limitations of claims 3 and 4 except for teaching a method in which the cryogen is liquid nitrogen, solid carbon dioxide or a mixture of solid carbon dioxide and a liquid cryogen.

Terai et al teaches a process of welding a high tension steel by metal arc inert gas welding. The sub-zero treatment is conducted by contacting the weld metal with any suitable coolant. The particular coolant used is not critical, and examples are dry ice, mixtures of dry ice with methyl or ethyl alcohol, and liquid nitrogen. (column 1 lines 31-35; column 3 lines 5-15)

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Waldron et al with the teachings of Terai et al in order to provide a process of welding without softening or crack formation at the heat-affected portion of the welded metal. Metal arc inert gas welding and friction stir welding are types of thermal welding.





Art Unit: 1725

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Thomas et al (USPN 5,460,317) and Midling et al (USPN 5,813,592) are cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zidia Pittman whose telephone number is (703) 305-1248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn, can be reached at (703) 308-3318. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718. The unofficial fax number for art unit 1725 is (703) 305-6078.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

05/13/02

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER